

## **A brief history of clawed lobster hatcheries - Challenges and opportunities in stock enhancement, research, and education**

Richard Wahle  
University of Maine School of Marine Sciences

### ***A Déjà Vu Moment***

In recent months, there's been a lot of discussion around breathing new life into lobster hatcheries with hopes of reversing the recent decline in Maine's lobster landings by enhancing the stock. More than a century of history shows that when lobster populations decline, interest in hatcheries tends to resurface. Indeed, in the words of baseball's Yogi Berra, "It's déjà vu all over again."

In response to the renewed interest, we've gathered relevant material that traces a chronology of lobster hatcheries dating back to the late 1800s. Here we provide a brief history of lobster hatcheries mostly in New England and Atlantic Canada for our native American lobster, *Homarus americanus*, but also in the United Kingdom and Europe for the closely related European lobster *H. gammarus*. We provide references for deeper reading, including peer-reviewed studies and reviews, technical reports and websites of particular relevance. The reader is especially referred to comprehensive reviews and workshop proceedings that have emerged along the way, including Aiken and Waddy (1995), Gendron (1998), Agnalt et al. (1999), Nicosia and Lavalli (1999) and Ellis et al. (2015), as well as a detailed hatchery manual recently produced jointly by the New England Aquarium and Wells National Estuarine Research Reserve (Goldstein and Gutzler 2026).

### ***Lobster Hatcheries in Stock Assessment***

Early motivation for lobster hatcheries stemmed from declines in the harvest and a perception that humans could usher hatchlings through their most vulnerable larval stages in a predator-free, food-rich laboratory environment, and then liberate them to the wild in greater numbers than could be achieved naturally.

Lobster hatcheries have been in use since the 1880s in New England and Atlantic Canada. In Maine, the Department of Marine Resources' Boothbay Harbor lab was first established in 1904 as one of several federal lobster hatcheries in New England. Similarly, early Canadian hatcheries spanned the coast of the southern Gulf of Saint Lawrence in New Brunswick and Nova Scotia (Aikin and Waddy 1995). Despite the collective release of billions of larvae, these efforts failed to demonstrate benefits to the fishery, in part because of the primitive or complete absence of rearing facilities, resulting in high mortality, as well as the inability to distinguish hatchery-reared lobsters from wild stock. In due course, many early hatcheries were eventually closed or repurposed.

Interest in hatcheries revived in the 1970s and 1980s alongside advances in lobster husbandry. In the United States, Federal investment through Sea Grant enabled exploratory studies of land-based lobster aquaculture from New England to California. In that time, it became feasible to rear lobsters from hatch to legal size in captivity within as little as two years (Hughes et al. 1972). However, the space and heated seawater required was not cost-effective, placing

commercial production far out of reach. In Maine, UMaine Machias partnered with the local fishing community of Cutler to refine practical hatchery techniques that dramatically improved survival to the postlarval stage; they even experimented with hatchery reared blue lobster as a natural marker of hatchery stock (Beal et al. 1998, Beal and Chapman 2001). In that case, aspirations for stock enhancement never gained traction, although it gave rise to continued hatchery-based research at the Downeast Institute on Beals Island.

In Europe and the United Kingdom, where lobster populations had been severely depleted and market prices high, hatchery-based stock enhancement of *H. gammarus* received significant funding in the 1980s and '90s. Notably, the UK, Norway, France and Germany developed techniques to rear lobsters for several months to a year, then mark them with internal “microwire” tags, allowing them to be tracked post-release into the fishery (Bannister and Addison 1998, Agnalt et al. 1999, Uglem et al. 2006, Schmalenbach et al. 2011, Ellis et al. 2015). The commercial catch of participating fishermen was monitored for recaptures over the ensuing years using a magnetic detector. Recaptures were then dissected under a microscope to read a batch code etched on the tag linking them to a specific release. These experiments were noteworthy because of their definitive success in tracking hatchery released juveniles to maturity and into the fishery. As summarized by Ellis et al. (2015), of nearly 250,000 tagged juveniles, annual recaptures typically ranged from tens to hundreds of lobsters, with a cumulative total of 9930 individuals across all monitored projects, mostly recaptured 3–10 years after release, either as subadults or adults ranging size from 50 to 120 mm carapace length. In most locations the cultured lobsters comprised only a small fraction of the harvest. On the east coast of England, for example, of 56,700 lobsters sampled in the commercial catch over 8 years, they recovered 650 recaptures, that is, 1.1% of the catch (Bannister and Addison 1998). In short, in no case did the returns justify the expense to continue or scale up the hatchery-release program, even under a very favorable market price.

By the late 1990s in the United States and Canada, comprehensive evaluations of the feasibility of lobster hatcheries were starting to reach similar conclusions. In 1997 Canada hosted a workshop on lobster stock enhancement, bringing together the key players from both sides of the Atlantic (Gendron 1998). In 1999 separate independent reviews of lobster hatcheries were also sponsored by the US National Marine Fisheries Service (Nicosia and Lavalli 1999) and the Maine State Legislature (1999). All of these assessments came to the same conclusion, that hatcheries were unlikely to be economically viable as a stock enhancement tool, but rather they emphasized their value in research and education. Still, rigorous enhancement experiments had only just begun in North America, and the transatlantic comparison highlighted dramatically lower diversity of potential fish predators and crustacean competitors among the North American than the European side that led some researchers to think enhancement efforts may stand a better chance in New England and Atlantic Canada (Wahle 1995, 1998).

Subsequent targeted field experiments in New England provided more locally relevant answers. In 1997 the University of Rhode Island initiated a 3-year controlled experiment (the “gold standard” for this type of research) to evaluate the joint effects of both hatchery and habitat enhancement as mitigation for an oil spill off the state’s coast (Castro et al. 2001). Only one of 2,000 hatchery-reared, microwire-tagged juveniles was recaptured. However, improving sediment-dominated habitat with cobble and boulders significantly increased natural lobster

settlement. This suggested there was already an ample natural supply of settlers at that time, making hatcheries a moot point. Since the late 1990s settlement in southern New England has fallen precipitously because the adverse effects of a warming ocean have triggered shell disease mortality, movement of spawners offshore, and a less hospitable coastal nursery habitat (Wahle et al. 2009, 2015, Casey et al. 2022), further dimming the prospects of successful hatchery releases or habitat addition to enhance the fishery.

Maine has no shortage of suitable rocky habitat, but fishermen in Lobster Zone C (eastern Penobscot Bay) felt their fishing grounds were not producing to full potential. In 2006 they opened a hatchery in Stonington. Bigelow Laboratory designed a study to evaluate the efficacy of releases on natural, shelter-providing, cobble habitat, using controlled design similar to Rhode Island's (Wahle et al. 2010). In all, they released over 21,000 Stage V juveniles at sites about the size of a football field and monitored by divers. Another 80,000 were released at unmonitored sites. Despite an estimated mortality in excess of 90% in the first week post-release and evidence of little dispersal beyond the immediate release sites, they found a local positive cumulative effect on population densities at sites where seeding was sustained over 4 years, but not at those seeded over only 2 years. A Sea Grant-sponsored pilot study applied genetic parentage analysis to track hatchery-sourced lobsters in the wild, but proved statistically inconclusive. In the final analysis, the expense and effort were too high and returns too small to justify continued releases. Ultimately, the wave of natural settlement spread over eastern Maine and overwhelmed any measurable effect of the hatchery, and the facility closed in 2010 under fortunate circumstances exactly the opposite of Rhode Island's.

To our knowledge Homarus, Inc. in Canada is the only hatchery running with the stated aim of enhancing the fishery (Homarus, Inc. 2026). From 2002 to 2025 they have released 8.5 million larvae in New Brunswick and Nova Scotia. However, to date there has been no rigorous assessment of their impact. In the UK, the National Lobster Hatchery makes no pretense of enhancing the fishery, but conducts relevant research and stands ready to assist if the fishery declines. One advancement has been to develop field-deployed on-growing system of stacking cages that render the dual benefit of allowing early juveniles to feed and grow in the ocean while relieving the pressure on the hatchery for space, clean water and staffing. As of 2010 the Orkney Lobster Hatchery in Scotland claimed to be “the most successful lobster hatchery in Europe” having released hundreds of thousands of early juveniles throughout the archipelago, but its website has not been updated since that year (Orkney Lobster Hatchery 2026). Back in Norway, the most visionary and ambitious technological advances are being made by The Norwegian Lobster Farm (2026) to improve the prospects for large scale land-based culture to commercial size taking advantage of heated effluent from a nearby power plant and using automated video monitoring and robotics to feed and care for massive numbers of juvenile lobsters.

The consistent take-away: In a large-scale, healthy lobster fishery like Maine's, that has a large broodstock but declining landings due to downturn in the number of newly settled lobsters, hatcheries have little hope of rebounding the lobster stock. Despite numerous intensive attempts over more than a century, the track record suggests high-production hatcheries are not cost-effective, nor are they likely to scale up effectively to enhance the wild-capture fishery. Rather, other time-tested conservation strategies are likely to offer a more reliable path to bolster a depleted fishery.

### ***Hatcheries in Research and Education***

The disappointing performance of lobster hatcheries in stock enhancement in no way diminishes their importance in scientific research and education. Lobster hatcheries have been widely used throughout New England, Atlantic Canada and the UK and Europe for a spectrum of scientific studies. They also have served an educational role in countless graduate and undergraduate research projects, many of which are cited below. Further, public aquariums feature hatcheries as part of their outreach and education programs. Three noteworthy and long-standing examples are the lobster hatcheries at the New England Aquarium in Boston, the Mount Desert Island Oceanarium in Maine, and the National Lobster Hatchery in England.

The research conducted in, and facilitated by, hatcheries has been both basic and applied. Not surprisingly a host of studies have employed small scale hatcheries to produce larvae and juveniles for laboratory experiments or small-scale ecological studies to evaluate responses to changes in the water quality, habitat, disease, feeding regimens or pollutants.

For example, in New England and Atlantic Canada in the early years, hatchery-reared larvae were instrumental in evaluating the effects of basic growing conditions, such as temperature and salinity, on growth, development and survival (Herrick 1893, Mead 1908, McKay 1929, Templeman 1936, McLeese 1956, Ford et al. 1979, MacKenzie 1988, James-Pirri and Cobb 1997). With the interest in land-based aquaculture in the 1970s and '80s, a spate of studies assessed the food and space requirements for rearing lobster from hatch to harvest (Hughes et al. 1972, Shleser.1974, Bartley et al. 1980).

With concerns about climate change, much recent work has focused on the separate and joint effects of temperature and ocean acidification on larval and juvenile physiology, immune response, and gene expression (Clark and Greenwood 2016, Waller et a. 2017, Harrington et al. 2019, Daoud et al. 2023, Niemisto et al. 2021, 2025, Jane et al. 2024). Hatchery-reared larval lobsters have been widely used in toxicology studies to evaluate, for example, the role of insecticides in the 1999 Long Island Sound lobster die-off (Pearce and Balcom 2005, Zulkosky et al. 2005), and the effects of feed medications accumulating under Canadian salmon aquaculture sites on egg-bearing lobsters (Asnicar et al. 2026). Several have focused on the influence of temperature and food regime on the microbial community associated with shell disease infections that have ravaged southern New England (Quinn et al. 2012, Tlusty and Metzler 2012). And because the embryos and larvae of lobsters are relatively large, they have become a model system for basic biomedical research on invertebrate neurological development (Belz et al. 2007, van der Meeren et al. 2009 to cite only two of a large literature).

Being able to analyze the larval offspring from known mothers in the controlled setting of a hatchery has also enabled researchers to distinguish maternal and familial effects from environmental effects on embryonic and larval traits, such as larval body size and investment of energy reserves that influence growth and survival (Ascher et al. 2024, Niemisto et al. 2025). Others have conducted larval feeding behavior experiments (Layland et al. 2024, Ascher et al. 2026) and assessed the effect of diet regimes on juvenile health and exoskeleton pigmentation (Tlusty et al. 2005a, b, Gendron et al. 2013). Still others have evaluated the effect of rearing substrate on early development (Goldstein & Tlusty 2003) and longer-term survival (Linnane et

al. 2000). Hatchery reared microwire tagged and blue lobsters have also been the subject of small-scale “saturation seeding” releases in the wild to address short-term habitat effects on survival, growth and movements to estimate the carrying capacity of nursery habitat for juvenile lobsters (Wahle and Incze 1997).

A widely acknowledged issue with hatchery-reared larvae and juveniles is that they tend to be smaller, pale in color, and less robust than their wild-caught counterparts (Castro and Cobb 2005, Jane et al. 2024, Annis et al. 2025). They also lack appropriate escape responses to predators, likely explaining the high levels of post-release mortality. Hatcheries in the UK and Maine have addressed this issue through the “ecological conditioning” gained by housing early juvenile lobster in field-deployed cages that provide protection from predators and sufficient space and natural food supply for initial growth and development (Beal et al. 2002, Beal 2012, Daniels et al. 2015).

Another concern with hatchery releases to wild populations is whether the large numbers of offspring from just a few mothers can overwhelm and undermine existing genetic diversity that confers collective resilience of the natural population to environmental change and pathogens (Jørstad et al. 2009, Ellis et al. 2015). The UK’s National Lobster Hatchery is one of the few organizations to take on the challenge of conducting the necessary parentage analysis to address this issue in a continuing collaboration with the local university and harvesters (Ellis et al. 2015).

### ***A Path Forward***

Despite advances in technology, hatcheries are not yet advanced enough to compete with Mother Nature to produce enough larvae to reverse the current population decline. When the lobster broodstock is healthy but settlement is lagging, it’s tempting to ask why not be a lobster midwife? The research shows that it’s an issue of scale. As we work to find the most efficient ways to stabilize the lobster stock, proponents of hatcheries must do the math to assess the scale of production and releases required to make a difference within a defined area, using realistic assumptions of survival, cost, and benefit. If hatcheries are revived in Maine, we strongly encourage reliable tracking of hatchery-reared lobsters in the wild using state-of-the-art tagging or genetic markers, and a controlled design to rigorously assess the impact on fishery recruitment relative to control (non-release) sites. This is not a trivial undertaking. Nonetheless, hatcheries can play a strong role complementing traditional conservation by strengthening research and partnerships between scientists, fishermen, and coastal communities while advancing knowledge that supports sustainable fisheries.

### **References**

- Agnalt, A.-L., G.I. Van Der Meeren, K.E. Jorstad, H. Nass, E. Farestveit, E. Nostvold, T. Svåsand, E. Korsoen, L. Ydstebo. 1999. Chapter 28: Stock enhancement of European lobster (*Homarus gammarus*): a large-scale experiment off south-western Norway (Kvitsey). In: B.R. Howell, E. Moksness, T. Svåsand (Eds). Stock Enhancement and Sea Ranching - Fishing News Books. (pp. 401-419). 1<sup>st</sup> Edition. Blackwell Science.
- Aiken, D. E., and S. L. Waddy. 1995. Chapter 8: Aquaculture. In: J. R. Factor (Ed). Biology of the Lobster, *Homarus americanus*. Pp.153–175. Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-012247570-2/50030-X>.

- Annis, E.R., Jane, A., Frederich, M., Waller, J., Fecteau-Volk, C.D., O'Loughlin, H., Larkin, R. and Rasher, D.B. 2025. Laboratory-reared lobster larvae yield inaccurate estimates of thermal tolerance. *Global Change Biology* 31: e70154. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.70154>
- Ascher, A., M. Niemisto, D. Baughman, G. Andrews, C. Morris, E. Patrick, R.A. Wahle, D.M. Fields. 2024. Climate induced declines in maternal size may come at a cost to embryonic investment and larval performance in the American lobster. *Fisheries Research* 276: 107059, <https://10.1016/j.fishres.2024.107059>
- Ascher, A., P. Countway, R.S. Sleith, M. Niemisto, R. Lasley-Rascher, J. Capista, C. Haley, T. McCarthy, D.M. Fields, R.A. Wahle. 2026. Shedding new light on a larval trophic bottleneck in New England's iconic lobster fishery. *Elementa-Science of the Anthropocene*. In press
- Asnicar, D., L. Jonah, M. Kingsbury, B. de Jourdan, D. Hamoutene. 2026. Effects of emamectin benzoate spiked sediment on adult, larval and post-larval stages of the American lobster (*Homarus americanus*). *Science of the Total Environment* 1031: 181799 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2026.181799>
- Bannister, R.C.A. and J.T. Addison. 1998. Enhancing lobster stocks: a review of recent European methods, results, and future prospects. *Bulletin of Marine Science* 62: 369–387.
- Bartley, D.M., Carlberg, J.M., Van Olst, J.C., and Ford, R.F. 1980. Growth and conversion efficiency of juvenile American lobster (*Homarus americanus*) in relation to temperature and feeding level. *Proceedings Annual Meeting of World Mariculture Society*. 11, 355-368.
- Beal, B.F., S.R. Chapman, C. Irvine, R.C. Bayer. 1998. Lobster (*Homarus americanus*) culture in Maine: A community-based, fishermen-sponsored public stock enhancement program. In: Gendron, L. (ed.) 1998. Proceedings of a workshop on lobster stock enhancement held in the Magdalen Islands (Québec), October 29-31, 1997. *Can. Ind. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 244: Pp.47-54. [Download PDF](#)
- Beal, B.F. 2012. Ocean-based nurseries for cultured lobster (*Homarus americanus* Milne Edwards) postlarvae: initial field experiments off the coast of eastern maine to examine effects of habitat and container type on growth and survival. *Journal of Shellfish Research* 31:167-176. <https://doi.org/10.2983/035.031.0120>
- Beal, B.F, and S. Chapman 2001. Methods for mass rearing stages I-IV larvae of the American lobster, *Homarus americanus* H. Milne Edwards, 1837, in static systems. *Journal of Shellfish Research* 20: 337-346. [Download PDF](#)
- Beal, B. F., Mercer, J. P., and O'Conghaile, A. 2002. Survival and growth of hatchery-reared individuals of the European lobster, *Homarus gammarus* (L.), in field-based nursery cages on the Irish west coast. *Aquaculture* 210: 137 – 157. [Download PDF](#)
- Beltz, B.S., M.F. Tlusty, J.L. Benton, and D.C. Sandeman. 2007. Omega-3 fatty acids upregulate adult neurogenesis. *Neuroscience Letters*. 415: 154-158. [Download PDF](#)
- Castro, K. M., and J. S. Cobb. 2005. Behaviour of hatchery-reared and wild-caught 4th and 5th stage American lobsters, *Homarus americanus*. *New Zealand Journal of Marine & Freshwater Research* 39: 963-972. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00288330.2005.9517366>.
- Castro, K., Cobb, J.S. Wahle, R.A., Catena, J. 2001. Habitat addition and stock enhancement for American lobsters, *Homarus americanus*. *Mar. Freshwater Res.* 52:1253–1261. <https://doi.org/10.1071/MF01095>
- Casey, F., Churchill, J. H., Cowles, G. W., Pugh, T. L., Wahle, R. A., Stokesbury, K. D. E., & Glenn, R. P. 2023. The impact of ocean warming on juvenile American lobster

- recruitment off southeastern Massachusetts. *Fisheries Oceanography* 32: 229–244. <https://doi.org/10.1111/fog.12625>
- Clark, K. F. and Greenwood, S. J. 2016. Next-generation sequencing and the crustacean immune system: The need for alternatives in immune gene annotation. *Integrative and Comparative Biology* 56: 1113–1130. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/icw023>.
- Daniels CL, Wills B, Ruiz-Perez M, Miles E, Wilson RW, Boothroyd D. 2015 Development of sea based container culture for rearing European lobster (*Homarus gammarus*) around South West England. *Aquaculture*. 448:186-195. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2015.05.026>
- Daoud D, Greenwood SJ, de Jourdan B, Asnicar D and Taylor LJ (2023) Development, growth and metabolic effects in stage IV lobster (*Homarus americanus*) following chronic exposure to sediments spiked with commercial formulations of deltamethrin and permethrin. *Front. Physiol.* 14:1151176. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2023.1151176 [Download PDF](#)
- Ellis, C.D., Hodgson, D.J., Daniels, C.L., Boothroyd, D.P., Bannister, R.C.A., and Griffiths, A.G.F. 2015. European lobster stocking requires comprehensive impact assessment to determine fishery benefits. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 72: i35 – i48. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsu196 [Download PDF](#)
- Ford, R.F., Felix, J.R., Johnson, R.L., Carlberg, J.M. and Van O1st, J.C. 1979. Effects of fluctuating and constant temperatures and chemicals in thermal effluent on growth and survival of the American lobster (*Homarus americanus*). *Proceedings of the World Mariculture Society* 10: 139-158. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-7345.1979.tb00014.x>
- Gendron, L. (ed.) 1998. Proceedings of a workshop on lobster stock enhancement held in the Magdalen Islands (Québec), October 29-31, 1997. *Can. Ind. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 244: xi + 135 p. [Download PDF](#)
- Gendron, L., Tremblay, R., Belvin, S., Génard, B., Motnikar, S. & Côté, J. (2013). Condition, survival and growth in situ of hatchery-reared stage IV lobster (*Homarus americanus*) fed *Artemia* and lipid-rich wild zooplankton. *Aquaculture*, 416-417:380-389.
- Goldstein, J. and B. Gutzler 2026 Laboratory culture manual for the American lobster (*Homarus americanus*) 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. New England Aquarium and Wells National Estuarine Research Reserve. 54 pp. [Download pdf](#)
- Goldstein, J.S. and M.F. Tlusty. 2003. Substrate determinants and development rate of claw asymmetry in American lobsters, *Homarus americanus*. *Journal Crustacean Biology* 23: 890-896. [Download PDF](#)
- Harrington, A. M., M. S. Tudor, H. R. Reese, D. A. Bouchard, and H. J. Hamlin. 2019. “Effects of Temperature on Larval American Lobster (*Homarus americanus*): Is There a Trade-Off Between Growth Rate and Developmental Stability?” *Ecological Indicators* 96: 404–411. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2018.09.022>.
- Herrick, F.H. 1893. The habits and development of the lobster, and their bearing upon its artificial propagation. *Bull. U.S. Bur. Fish. Comm.* 13:75-86.
- Homarus, Inc. 2026. Website: <https://homarus.org/fr/> Accessed 19 Apr 2026
- Hughes, J. T., Sullivan, J. J. and Shleser, R. A. 1972. Enhancement of lobster growth. *Science* 177: 1110–1111. DOI: 10.1126/science.177.4054.1110
- James-Pirri M, Cobb JS. 1997. Growth rates of planktonic and newly settled American lobsters *Homarus americanus*. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser.* 160:233-240. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps160233>

- Jane, A, Rasher DB, Waller J, Annis E, Frederich M (2024) Rearing condition influences gene expression in postlarval American lobster (*Homarus americanus*). *PLoS ONE* 19(7): e0307169. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0307169>
- Jørstad, K. E., Kristiansen, T. S., Farestveit, E., Agnalt, A-L., Prodohl, P. A., Hughes, M., Ferguson, A., et al. 2009. Survival of laboratory-reared juvenile European lobster (*Homarus gammarus*) from three brood sources in southwestern Norway. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 43: 59 – 68.
- Layland, E., E. Patrick, M. Spencer, R. Lasley-Rasher, D.M. Fields, R.A. Wahle. 2024. Larval ontogeny enhances resilience to a patchy planktonic food supply in the American lobster (*Homarus americanus*). *Fisheries Research* 276:107179. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2024.107179>.
- Linnane, A., Mazzoni, D., and Mercer, J. P. 2000. A long-term mesocosm study on the settlement and survival of juvenile European lobster *Homarus gammarus* (L.) in four natural substrata. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 249: 51 – 64. [Download PDF](#)
- MacKenzie, B. R. 1988. Assessment of temperature effects on interrelationships between stage durations, mortality, and growth in laboratory reared *Homarus americanus* Milne Edwards.” *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 116: 87–98. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0981\(88\)90248-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0981(88)90248-1)
- McKay, D.A. 1929. Larval and postlarval lobsters. *Amer. Nat.* 63: 160-170.
- McLeese 1956. Effects of Temperature, salinity and oxygen on the survival of the American lobster. *J. Fisheries Research Board of Canada*, 13: 247-272.
- Mead, A.D. 1908. A method of lobster culture. *Bull U.S. Bur. Fish* 28: 219-245.
- Maine Legislature 1999. A report by The Committee to Study the Establishment of Lobster Hatcheries. Joint Standing Committee on Marine Resources, Maine 119<sup>th</sup> Legislature.
- Nicosia, F., and Lavalli, K. 1999. Homarid lobster hatcheries: their history and role in research, management, and aquaculture. *NMFS Marine Fisheries Review* 61:1 – 57. [https://spo.nmfs.noaa.gov/sites/default/files/pdf-content/mfr6121\\_0.pdf](https://spo.nmfs.noaa.gov/sites/default/files/pdf-content/mfr6121_0.pdf)
- Niemisto M, Fields DM, Clark KF, Waller JD, Greenwood SJ, Wahle RA. 2021. American lobster postlarvae alter gene regulation in response to ocean warming and acidification. *Ecology and Evolution* 11: 806–819. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.7083>
- Niemisto, M., A. Contreras, R.A. Wahle, D.M. Fields. 2025. Increased temperature and acidification elevate the risk of starvation in American lobster larvae, *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 82: fsaf088. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsaf088>
- Norwegian Lobster Farm 2026. Website: <https://norwegian-lobster-farm.com> Accessed 19 Apr 2026.
- Orkney Lobster Hatchery 2010. Website: <https://orkneylobsterhatchery.co.uk/index.html> Accessed 19 Apr 2026
- Pearce, J., and N. Balcom. 2005. The 1999 Long Island Sound lobster mortality event: Findings of the comprehensive research initiative. *Journal of Shellfish Research* 24: 691-697. [https://doi.org/10.2983/0730-8000\(2005\)24\[691:TLISM\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.2983/0730-8000(2005)24[691:TLISM]2.0.CO;2)
- Quinn, R.A., A. Metzler, R. Smolowitz, M. Tlusty, and A.Y. Chistoserdov. 2012. Exposures of *Homarus americanus* shell to three bacteria isolated from naturally occurring epizootic shell disease lesions. *Journal of Shellfish Research* 31: 485-493. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2983/035.031.0208>

- Schmalenbach, I., Mehrstens, F., Janke, M., and Buchholz, F. 2011. A mark-recapture study of hatchery-reared juvenile European lobsters, *Homarus gammarus*, released at the rocky island of Helgoland (German Bight, North Sea) from 2000 to 2009. *Fisheries Research* 108: 22 – 30.
- Shleser, R.A. 1974. Studies on the effects of feeding frequency and space on the growth of the American lobster (*Homarus americanus*). *Proc. Annu. Meeting of the World Maric. Soc.* 5, 149-155.
- Templeman, W. 1936. The influence of temperature, salinity, light, and food conditions on the survival and growth of the larvae of the lobster (*Homarus americanus*). *J. Biol. Board of Canada* 2: 485-497.
- Thlusty, M.F., and A. Metzler. 2012. Relationship between temperature and shell disease in laboratory populations of juvenile American lobsters (*Homarus americanus*). *Journal of Shellfish Research* 31: 533–541. <https://doi.org/10.2983/035.031.0213>.
- Thlusty, M.T., D.R. Fiore\* and J.S. Goldstein. 2005a. Formulated diets as replacements for *Artemia* in the rearing of juvenile American lobsters (*Homarus americanus*). *Journal Aquaculture* 250: 781-795. [Download PDF](#)
- Thlusty, M.T., J.S. Goldstein and D.R. Fiore\*. 2005b. Hatchery performance of early benthic juvenile American lobsters (*Homarus americanus*) fed enriched frozen adult *Artemia* diets. *Journal Aquaculture Nutrition* 11: 191-200. [Download PDF](#)
- Uglem, I., Perez Benevente, F.G., Browne, R. 2006. A regional development strategy for clawed lobsters (*Homarus gammarus*) – Development of juvenile lobster production methodologies. *NINA Report* 211, 39 pp. [Download PDF](#)
- van der Meeren, G., M.F. Thlusty, A. Metzler, T. van der Meeren. 2009. Effects of dietary DHA and EPA on neurogenesis, growth, and survival of juvenile American lobster, *Homarus americanus*. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 43: 225-232. <http://doi.org/10.1080/00288330909509996>
- Wahle, R.A. 1995. A trans-Atlantic perspective on lobster recruitment and enhancement. Proceedings Workshop on Lobster Enhancement. Norwegian Inst. Marine Research. June 1995.
- Wahle, R.A. 1998. A cradle to grave approach to lobster stock assessment and enhancement. In: Gendron, L. (ed.) 1998. Proceedings of a workshop on lobster stock enhancement held in the Magdalen Islands (Québec), October 29-31, 1997. *Can. Ind. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 244: xi + 135 p. [Download PDF](#)
- Wahle, R.A. and L.S. Incze. 1997. Pre- and post-settlement processes in recruitment of the American lobster. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* 217: 179-207. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0981\(97\)00055-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0981(97)00055-5)
- Wahle, R.A., M. Gibson, M.J. Fogarty. 2009. Distinguishing disease impacts from larval supply effects in a lobster fishery collapse. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 376: 185–192. [doi: 10.3354/meps07803](https://doi.org/10.3354/meps07803)
- Wahle, R.A., Gerlach, G., Ames, T. 2010. Developing genetic fingerprinting techniques in lobster seeding trials. Maine Sea Grant project report. Project # R-08-05
- Wahle, R.A., L. Dellinger, S. Olszewski, P. Jekielek. 2015. Lobster nurseries of southern New England recede in the face of climate change. *ICES J. Marine Science* 72 (Suppl. 1): i69-i78, [doi: 10.1093/icesjms/fsv093](https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsv093)

- Waller, J.D., R.A. Wahle, H. McVeigh, D.M. Fields. 2017. Linking rising pCO<sub>2</sub> and temperature to the larval development and physiology of the American lobster (*Homarus americanus*). *ICES J. Marine Science* 74:1210-1219, doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsw154
- Zulkosky, A.M., Ruggieri, J.P., Terracciano, S.A., Brownawell, B., and McElroy, A.E., 2005, Acute toxicity of resmethrin, malathion and methoprene to larval and juvenile American lobsters (*Homarus amemcanus*) and analysis of pesticide levels in surface waters after Scourge™, Anvil™ and Altsoid™ application: *Journal of Shellfish Research* 24: 795-804, [https://doi.org/10.2983/0730-8000\(2005\)24\[795:ATORMA\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.2983/0730-8000(2005)24[795:ATORMA]2.0.CO;2).